

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,005	01/08/2002		Rabindranath Dutta	AUS920010636US1	4931
7	7590	05/03/2006		EXAMINER	
Kelly K. Kordzik				MANNING, JOHN	
5400 Renaissa		ver	•		
1201 Elm Stre	et			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas TX 7	5270			2623	

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 18.			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/042,005	DUTTA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Manning	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. It period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applie	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	• • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority doct		and the section of the	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· ·	
application from the International I		received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , ,	received.	
	,		
Attachment(s)	. 🗖		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO: Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 11-12, 14-18, 21-22 and 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abecassis (US Pat No 5,589,945).

In regard to claims 1, 11 and 21, Abecassis discloses "a video system comprising integrated random access video technologies and video software architectures for the automated selective retrieval of non-sequentially stored parallel, transitional, and overlapping video segments from a single variable content program source, responsive to a viewer's preestablished video content preferences. Embodiments of the video system permit the automatic transmission of the selected segments from a variable content program as a seamless continuous and harmonious video program, and the transmission of the selected segments from an interactive video game further responsive to the logic of the interactive video game. The viewer's video content preferences being stored in the video system, and/or in a compact portable memory device that facilitates the automatic configuration of a second video system. The system's controls also provide an editor of a variable content program the capability for efficiently previewing automatically selected video segments to permit the editor

to indicate the inclusion of the selected segments in the program to be viewed by a viewer" (Abstract). The claimed limitation of "receiving a broadcast signal" is met by Figure 5 (See: Col 18, Line 64 – Col 19, Lines 18). The claimed limitation of "displaying a broadcast associated with said broadcast signal" is met by Figure 5, Item 506 (See: Col 12, Line 20-29). The claimed limitation of "recording said broadcast" is met by Figure 5, Item 514 (See: Col 14, Line 23-42; Col 18, Lines 10-26). The claimed limitation of "assigning one or more sessions to said recorded broadcast" is met by Figures 3A-3C (See: Col 9, Line 34-49; Col 19, Line – Col 20, Line 9). The claimed limitation of "associating one or more units with said one or more sessions" is met by Figure 7, 721 (See: Col 20, Lines 38-46; Col 19, Lines 30-39). The claimed limitation of "editing said recorded broadcast for one or more of said one or more sessions" is met by Figure 3B-3C (See: Col 9, Line 34 - Col 10, Line 5). The claimed limitation of "transmitting said edited broadcast to one or more of said one or more units associated with said one or more of said one or more sessions with a delay" is met by the disclosed video buffer (See: Col 14, Line 23-42; Col 16, Lines 47-65). In an alternative interpretation, a delay associated with a transmission is inherent due to signal propagation time over a transmission line/medium.

In regard to claims 2, 12 and 22, the claimed limitation of "receiving input to delete content of said recorded broadcast associated with one or more sessions" is met by Figures 3B-3C (See: Col 9, Line 34 – Col 10, Line 5).

Page 4

Art Unit: 2623

In regard to claims 4, 14 and 24, the claimed limitation of "each of said one or more units is configured to display said edited broadcast" is disclosed by Abecassis (See Col 12, Lines 20-29; Col 19, Lines 30-38; Col 19, Lines 48-53).

In regard to claims 5, 7, 15, 17, 25 and 27, the claimed limitations of "a first session of said one or more sessions is associated with a first edited recorded broadcast, wherein a second session of said one or more sessions is associated with a second edited recorded broadcast, wherein said first edited recorded broadcast is different in content from said second edited recorded broadcast" and "said first edited recorded broadcast is transmitted to a first unit associated with said first session, wherein said first unit is configured to display said first edited recorded broadcast, wherein said second edited recorded broadcast is transmitted to a second unit associated with said second session, wherein said second unit is configured to display said second edited recorded broadcast" are disclosed by Abecassis (See Col 20, Lines 38-46).

In regard to claims 6, 16 and 26, the claimed limitation of "said first edited recorded broadcast is edited for a first age group, wherein said second edited recorded broadcast is edited for a second age group" is disclosed by Abecassis (See Col 23, Lines 18-44; Col 24, Line 55 – Col 25, Line 8). The MPAA rating system is an age-based system (e.g. PG-13 indicates that the material may not be suitable for children under 13).

Claims 8, 18, and 28 are met by that discussed above for claims 1, 11 and 21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 10, 13, 20, 23 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abecassis in view of Tabuchi et al. (TV Community System That Enables Users To Build and Maintain a Community Associated With the Time-Line of TV Program, 1999, Scientific Publication Information Processing Research Report, Vol. 99, No. 7, ISSN 0919-6072).

In regard to claims 3, 10, 13, 20, 23 and 30, Abecassis fails to disclose receiving input to annotate content of said recorded broadcast associated with one or more sessions where said annotated contents are transmitted to said one or more of said one or more of said one or more units associated with said one or more of said one or more sessions. Tabuchi teaches receiving input to annotate content of said recorded broadcast associated with one or more sessions where said annotated contents are transmitted to said one or more of said one or more units associated with said one or more of said one or more sessions (Pages 3 and 11-2) so as to allow the viewer to participates and offer feedback. Consequently, it would have been clearly obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Abecassis with the use of receiving input to annotate content of said recorded broadcast associated with one or more sessions where said annotated contents are

transmitted to said one or more of said one or more units associated with said one or more of said one or more sessions for the stated advantage.

5. Claims 9, 19 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abecassis.

In regard to claims 9, 19 and 29, Abecassis fails to disclose said delay is a variable delay. The Examiner takes Official Notice that it is notoriously well known in the art to have a variable delay of a transmission so as to buffer a signal with respect to the current characteristics of the system. Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Abecassis with a delay is a variable delay for the stated advantage.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Manning whose telephone number is 571-272-7352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,005

Art Unit: 2623

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JM

April 28, 2006

JOHN MILLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600